

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/695,359	-	10/28/2003	Daniel W. Van Vleet	1995	5995
24264	7590	07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
TIMOTH	IY J MART	ΓIN, PC	YEUNG, JAMES C		
9250 W 5	TH AVENU	E			
SUITE 200				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LAKEWOOD, CO 80226				3749	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/695,359	VAN VLEET, DANIEL W. \					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James C Yeung .	3749					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 O	ctober 2003.						
·—	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) □ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) 17-27 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-7,10,11,14-16,28 and 31-34 is/are r 7) □ Claim(s) 8-9, 12-13, 29-30 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ejected.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate					
2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04 +4/19/04.		atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they 1. do not include the following reference character(s) mentioned in the description: 626 (page 13, line 19). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 2. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,359

Art Unit: 3749

3. Claims 1-2, 6, 10-11, and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gieb. The structure as claimed is fully anticipated by Gieb.

In particular, Gieb shows in Figs. 1-5, an outdoor fireplace comprising:

- (A) a fire pan (10) adapted to be supported in an upright orientation on a support surface(G), the fire pan (10) having an upper rim (11) and a pan interior (not numbered);
- (B) at least one diffuser element (21) disposed in the pan interior of the fire pan (10) and secured thereto when in an assembled state; and
- (C) a gas injector (20) extending into to the interior of the fire pan (10) when in the assembled state and operative to introduce vaporized fuel into the pan interior when connected to the source of fuel, the gas injector (20) terminating in a gas outlet (25) located proximately to the diffuser element (21) such that vaporized fuel introduced into the pan interior is incident on a region adjacent to the diffuser element (21), the diffuser element thereby operative to disperse the vaporized fuel about the fire pan interior when the gas introduces the vaporized fuel therein.
- 4. Claims 1-6, 10, 14-15, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell. The structure as claimed is fully anticipated by Campbell.

In particular, Campbell shows in Figs. 1-5, a fireplace log burner comprising:

- (A) a fire pan (10) adapted to be supported in an upright orientation on a support surface (34), the fire pan (10) having an upper rim (11) and a pan interior (not numbered);
- (B) at least one diffuser element (24) disposed in the pan interior of the fire pan (10) and secured thereto when in an assembled state; and

Application/Control Number: 10/695,359

Art Unit: 3749

(C) a gas injector (20) extending into to the interior of the fire pan (10) when in the assembled state and operative to introduce vaporized fuel into the pan interior when connected to the source of fuel, the gas injector (20) terminating in a gas outlet (22) located proximately to the diffuser element (24) such that vaporized fuel introduced into the pan interior is incident on a region adjacent to the diffuser element (24), the diffuser element thereby operative to disperse the vaporized fuel about the fire pan interior when the gas introduces the vaporized fuel therein.

<u>In regard to claims 5 and 34</u>, Campbell discloses in col. 3, line 4 that the particular material is vermiculite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7, 28, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell in view of Cunningham.

Cunningham teaches the use of a lid (14, Fig. 4) of the purpose of closing an open top of a firebox.

Application/Control Number: 10/695,359

Art Unit: 3749

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to provide the fire pan of Campbell with a lid such as taught by Cunningham

in order to close the open top of the fire pan.

7. Claims 8-9, 12-13, and 29-30 are rejected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James C Yeung whose telephone number is 703 308-1047. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JY July 26, 2004

James C. Yeung

Primary Examiner